

# Annex 4

## Response from Applicant to IP Representations

From: Lale Karakas

Sent: 29 June 2018 16:09

To: Ellie Green <Ellie.Green@Enfield.gov.uk>

Cc: Licensing <Licensing@enfield.gov.uk>

Subject: RE: Premises: Coffee Break, 792 Green Lanes, LONDON, N21 2SH  
WK/218010460 LDR: 19/6/18 Officer initials: GA [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Dear Ellie,

Thank you for your email dated 25th June 2018.

I have now taken our clients full instructions in relation to the 3 objections which were attached to your email dated 25th June 2018. I confirm that our client will be attending to the hearing and will be accompanied by myself, Lale Karakas of Gulsen & Co Solicitors.

### **Response to representation labelled IP1:**

The person making the representation against the application has not provided their name or address or any other detail. Therefore, the representation should not be accepted and should be considered invalid. Nevertheless, the representation made is not relevant to the intended application on the grounds that bearing in mind this is a café and not a pub therefore, noise will not be an issue as a result of the licensable activities.

### **Response to representation labelled IP2:**

Again, given the nature of our client's business the intended sale of alcohol and licensable activities, this representation against the application is not relevant since our client is not operating a pub and under no circumstances our client's customers will be drinking alcohol on the street late into the night.

Also, the volume of the music is going to be very low and the music will only be heard by people dining in the café. Again, by the nature of the business, our client is not converting a successful coffee shop into a pub or public house. Therefore, this representation should not be taken into consideration.

### **Response to representation labelled IP3:**

The person making the representation states that they are not able to access the online facility of the council therefore, our client cannot be responsible for that.

Our client has been operating this business for 4 years and during this time he has never had any objections or representations from a member of public in relation to the said sexual harassments. The interesting party making this representation is somehow confused and is not sure on what grounds to object. Also, this is a café with a few tables outside the premises which customers use from time to time.

The interesting party is merely raising issues to object to the application. The points raised in her representation has never been a concern during our client's ownership of the business and it is very unlikely to be in the future. Therefore, the interesting party should not be given any credit.

Our client has already accepted the relative authority's proposals namely the Licensing Team and Police. Therefore, I strongly suggest the representations labelled IP1, IP2 and IP3 are reconsidered before the matter leads to Sub-Committee Hearing on 11th July 2018.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind Regards,

Lale Karakas

Trainee Solicitor

GULSEN & CO SOLICITORS

Gulsen & Co Solicitors is the trading name of Canbolat Limited

---

**From:** Ellie Green <Ellie.Green@Enfield.gov.uk>

**Sent:** 25 June 2018 18:44

**To:** lale.karakas@gulsen.co.uk; 'info@gulsen.co.uk' <info@gulsen.co.uk>

**Subject:** Premises: Coffee Break, 792 Green Lanes, LONDON, N21 2SH  
WK/218010460 LDR: 19/6/18 Officer initials: GA [SEC=OFFICIAL]

Classification: OFFICIAL

Dear Lale

The consultation period for the above new premises licence application has now closed.

The Licensing Team has received three representations against the application, which I now attach (referred to as IP1 to IP3). I am awaiting further information from

the representation labelled IP1, before I fully accept it as a valid representation, but I attach it for your information now.

I note that you have agreed the condition proposed by the Licensing Authority and the Police so those representations have now been withdrawn. The list of agreed conditions is attached.

As a result of the objections, the application will need to be determined by the Licensing Sub-Committee at a hearing. This is scheduled for 10am on Wednesday 11 July 2018, and will be held in the Council Chamber at Enfield Civic Centre.

Please see attached guidance on the procedures of a hearing.

I would be grateful if you could confirm whether you will be attending the hearing and, if so, the names of any representatives who will accompany you.

If you would like to respond to the outstanding concerns raised by the IPs, or you wish to provide any other written information for the Licensing Sub-Committee and IPs to consider, please send this to [licensing@enfield.gov.uk](mailto:licensing@enfield.gov.uk) by Thursday 28 June 2018 if possible, or by Tuesday 3 July at the very latest. Any information provided after this date cannot be considered or referred to at the hearing.

If you do not attend, the hearing may still proceed in your absence. Applications will only be adjourned when the Sub-Committee considers an adjournment is both necessary and in the public interest.

All information that you have provided with your application will be put out into the public domain and some will end up in reports that will be able to be viewed on the website. Names and addresses are not 'searchable' within the report or via web searches.

The application you have submitted and all correspondence and other documents associated with it are kept on our computer system record.

Kind regards

**Ellie Green**

**Principal Licensing Officer**